



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/553,452	04/19/2000	Earl D. Koch	P3094	3887

7590 03/12/2002

Thomas J. Oppold
HENDERSON & STURM LLP
206 Sixth Avenue
Suite 1213
Des Moines, IA 50309-3589

EXAMINER

MARKOVICH, KRISTINE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3671

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/553,452

Applicant(s)
Koch

Examiner
Kristine Markovich

Group Art Unit
3671



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kristine Markovich

(3) Thomas Opphold

(2) Tom Will

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 5, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: proposed claim 25

Identification of prior art discussed:

Shaftner (US Patent 5,308,188) and Rech (US Patent 4,373,306)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Proposed new claim 25 was faxed to examiner and discussed. The subject matter (specifically the 1:20 ratio of inclination) of new claim 25 did not appear to read over the combination of Shaftner in view of Rech. No agreement was reached on any allowable subject matter of the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side of or on attached sheet.


Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.